DISTRICT OF COLUMBIA

DOH Office of Adjudication and Hearings

825 North Capitol Street N.E., Suite 5100 Washington D.C. 20002

DISTRICT OF COLUMBIA DEPARTMENT OF HEALTH Petitioner.

v.

Case No.: I-00-70201

MADHATTER AND MICHAEL TOBIN Respondents

ORDER OF DISMISSAL

On June 12, 2001 this administrative court issued the attached Scheduling Order. Among other things, the Scheduling Order required the parties to file and serve copies of any documents to be introduced into evidence as well as witness lists no less than ten (10) days prior to the August 8, 2001 hearing scheduled in this matter. By Notice dated June 22, 2001, the hearing was continued to August 15, 2001. The procedural requirements set forth in the Scheduling Order, however, remained in effect. In addition, the Scheduling Order provided that the Government's failure to timely comply with these requirements would result in a dismissal for want of prosecution. To date, this administrative court has received no submissions from the Government.

Adherence to the requirements of the orders and procedures of this administrative court is a necessary component of due process which ensures, among other things, that a Respondent has adequate notice of the charge(s) against him or her and a fair opportunity to defend against those charges. Such adherence also fosters sound judicial administration. *See, e.g., Ballard v.*

Case No. I-00-70201

Carlson, 882 F.2d 93 (4th Cir. 1989). Accordingly, this case will be dismissed for want of

prosecution.

Therefore, based on the entire record in this matter, it is this _____ day of

_____, 2001:

ORDERED, that Notice of Infraction No. 00-70201 is hereby **DISMISSED WITHOUT**

PREJUDICE; and it is further

ORDERED, that the hearing in this matter currently scheduled for August 15, 2001 is

hereby **CANCELED**; and it is further

ORDERED, that any motion by the Government requesting that this Order be vacated

and that the case be restored to the docket must be filed within fourteen (14) days of receipt of

this order and must demonstrate good cause why the case should not stand dismissed. See SCR

Civil Rule 41(b).

/s/ 8/6/01

Mark D. Poindexter

Administrative Judge

- 2 -